

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,871	10/20/2000	Alexandre Marti	NITROS P146US 6986	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 07/23/200 ENYON LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE BROAD			CHONG, YONG SOO	
NEW YORK, NY 10004		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1617	
			·	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		. 8	07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/673,871	MARTI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yong S. Chong	1617		

Bolore ale i lillig of all Appeal Bilei	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yong S. Chong	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			,
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<del></del>	olionee with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two mands	6 41 6
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c)</li></ul>		. , ,	the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,20,22-27,29-35 and 54-67</u> .		,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>36-53</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to deshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/S/870)8) Paper No(s)		•
13. Other:		$\lambda e$	
	11 admont	Brin	
କ୍ରୀ ।ତ	SREENI PADMANABHAN ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEI	Yong S, Chong	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but found not persuasive because it is based on new claim amendments and evidence that have not been entered. Amendments to the claims and new claims 68-69 have changed the scope of the invention, therefore requires new consideration and search. Moreover, the evidence submitted contains new graphs with different units. While these claim amendments and new evidence was discussed in the interview dated 4/16/2007, this interview was conducted after the Final Office Action was filed on 2/21/2007. An RCE is required for these amendments to be entered into record.